

12-27-05

1/2W

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Attorney Docket No. AM100056 D1)

<i>In re</i> Patent Application of:)	Appln. No.: 10/618,044
)	Confirmation No.: 4109
DAN M. BERGER <i>et al.</i>)	Customer No.: 25291
)	Group Art Unit: 1626
Filed: 07/10/2003)	Examiner: Ebenezer O. Sackey
)	
For: TRICYCLIC PROTEIN)	Paper No.: 15
KINASE INHIBITORS)	

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith in the above-referenced patent application is an Amendment with an attachment. It is believed that no additional fee is required.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

WYETH

Date: December 23, 2005


By: Anne M. Rosenblum
Anne M. Rosenblum
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 30,419

FILING BY EXPRESS MAIL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.10

This correspondence and the accompanying document identified hereinabove are being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on December 23, 2005 to be delivered by the "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under Mailing Label Number EQ 077675208 US addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Anne M. Rosenblum
Anne M. Rosenblum

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Attorney Docket No. AM100056 D1)



<i>In re</i> Patent Application of:)	Appln. No.: 10/618,044
)	Confirmation No.: 4109
DAN M. BERGER <i>et al.</i>)	Customer No.: 25291
)	Group Art Unit: 1626
Filed: 07/10/2003)	Examiner: Ebenezer O. Sackey
)	
For: TRICYCLIC PROTEIN)	Paper No.: 15
KINASE INHIBITORS)	

AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Office communication mailed November 29, 2005 in the above-referenced patent application, please amend the above-referenced application using the below instructions.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

In accord with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121, the amendment to the claims and a complete listing of all pending claims in the application begin on a separate sheet. For the convenience of the Office staff, the amendment is placed in the below Appendix and incorporated herein by reference thereto. The amendment adds no new matter into the application.

The Examiner rejects Claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for reasons given on page 2 of the Office action. Without comment on the merits of the rejection but to expedite prosecution towards an immediate allowance, Applicants are amending Claim 19 to provide the definition of the radical -A'-T-L in the claim (see page 11 of Appendix). As a result of the amendment, it is respectfully asked that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and allow Claim 19.

Regarding the Examiner's kind suggestion to amend process Claim 36 to correspond to the scope of the elected subject matter, Applicants note for the record that the Examiner and the undersigned attorney discussed the claim on the telephone. During the conversation of December 1, 2005, the Examiner appreciated that process Claim 36 was previously amended to conform the

Page 1 of 24